



WEST OXFORDSHIRE
DISTRICT COUNCIL

West Oxfordshire District Council
Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS)
2019/20

December 2020

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. Introduction..... | 3 |
| 2. Infrastructure needs in West Oxfordshire..... | 5 |
| 3. Section 106 Planning Obligations..... | 10 |
| 4. Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)..... | 18 |
| 5. Future Spending Priorities..... | 20 |

Appendices

| | |
|--|------------|
| Appendix 1 - Schedule of Future Infrastructure Requirements..... | 22 |
| Appendix 2 – Summary Table of Developer Contributions by Anticipated Mechanism..... | 106 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Table 1: Section 106 funds secured through S106 entered into in 2019-20..... | 11 |
| Table 2: Affordable housing required from S106 planning obligations signed in 2019-2020..... | 12 |
| Table 3: Other non-monetary contributions required from S106 planning obligations signed in 2019-2020..... | 13 |
| Table 4: Section 106 funds collected in 2019-2020..... | 14 |
| Table 5: Section 106 contributions spent in 2019/20..... | 16 |

I. Introduction

- 1.1. In accordance with legislative requirements, from December 2020, local authorities are required to prepare an Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS).
- 1.2. The main purpose of the IFS is to set out in a transparent manner, future infrastructure requirements and expected costs, contributions received (both financial and non-financial) during the previous financial year, anticipated funding from developer contributions (e.g. Section 106 and CIL) and the Council's future spending priorities.
- 1.3. The IFS must be updated annually and published by the 31st December each year (or more often if a local authority chooses to). It should cover the previous financial year (1 April - 31 March). This report covers the period 1st April 2019 to 31st March 2020 (referred to throughout as 2019/20).
- 1.4. There is no single format for preparing an IFS but as a minimum, it must include the following information:
 - A report relating to the previous financial year on the Community Infrastructure Levy (where CIL is in place);
 - A report relating to the previous financial year on section 106 planning obligations; and
 - A report on the infrastructure projects or types of infrastructure that the Council intends to fund wholly or partly through CIL.
- 1.5. Any authority that receives a contribution from development through CIL or section 106 planning obligations must prepare an IFS. This includes County Councils.
- 1.6. In terms of content, this West Oxfordshire IFS for 2019/20 is structured as follows:
 - Section 1 (this section) provides a brief overview of what an IFS is and the legal requirements for preparing one;
 - Section 2 provides an overview of future infrastructure needs and anticipated costs in West Oxfordshire;
 - Section 3 provides a summary of developer contributions relating to Section 106 (S106) Legal Agreements allocated, collected and spent by the District Council in 2019/20;

- Section 4 provides a brief overview of the expected timetable for implementing CIL in West Oxfordshire, along with an indication of the total amount of future potential CIL receipts. Once CIL is in place (expected spring 2021) future updates of the IFS will report on the amount of CIL received/spent by the Council in each reporting year;
 - Section 5 provides an overview of the Council's future spending priorities for S106 planning obligations and CIL and explains the inter-relationship between the two.
- 1.7. It is important to note that the IFS draws on a number of existing sources of information.
- 1.8. For ease of reference, these are listed below with web links provided as appropriate. They are also listed as appropriate throughout the IFS.
- West Oxfordshire [Infrastructure Delivery Plan](#) (November 2016)
 - West Oxfordshire [Draft CIL Charging Schedule](#) (March 2020)
 - West Oxfordshire [Infrastructure Funding Gap Analysis](#) (June 2020)
 - [Eynsham Area Infrastructure Delivery Plan Stage 1 Draft Report](#) (May 2019)
 - [Eynsham Area Infrastructure Delivery Plan Updated Draft Report](#) (July 2020)
 - [Woodstock Community and Infrastructure Delivery Plan](#) (2019)
 - West Oxfordshire [Draft Developer Contributions Supplementary Planning Document](#) (November 2020)
- 1.9 This IFS should also be read in conjunction with Oxfordshire County Council's IFS which is available to view separately at www.oxfordshire.gov.uk

2. Infrastructure needs in West Oxfordshire

- 2.1. To provide some context for the remainder of the IFS, in this section we provide an overview of future infrastructure needs in West Oxfordshire, their potential cost and the anticipated sources of funding.

West Oxfordshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan (November 2016)

- 2.2. The main source of information on future infrastructure needs in West Oxfordshire is the District Council's [Infrastructure Delivery Plan \(IDP\) 2016](#) which was prepared in support of the Local Plan and covers the period to 2031.
- 2.3. The Council's IDP (2016) seeks to identify the infrastructure that is needed to support future planned growth in West Oxfordshire including an overall housing requirement of 15,950 homes in the period 2011 - 2031 (798 homes per year).
- 2.4. The IDP considers the provision of future infrastructure under three broad categories:
 - **Physical infrastructure** (including transport, water, energy, waste and recycling, minerals);
 - **Social infrastructure** (including education, leisure and sport, health, public safety, community and culture, social care and criminal justice); and
 - **Green infrastructure** (including informal and formal green space, public rights of way, Local Wildlife Sites, Conservation Target Areas etc.)
- 2.5. For each category and sub-category, the IDP provides a broad overview of current constraints and opportunities across the District together with information on any recent or planned infrastructure improvements.
- 2.6. Appendix I of the IDP comprises a schedule of future infrastructure requirements associated with the planned growth identified in the Local Plan. A large number of projects/schemes are identified together with an indication of the potential costs, funding already secured (where applicable) the extent of any identified funding gap and anticipated future funding mechanisms (e.g. Section 106 planning obligations and CIL).

2.7. Key highway projects include for example:

- Shores Green Slip Roads (SGSR) Witney;
- Improvements to Bridge Street and Staple Hall junction, Witney including public realm and traffic management measures;
- Improvements to Oxford Hill/Cogges Hill Road/Jubilee Way junction, Witney;
- Re-designating the A4095 via Jubilee Way, Oxford Hill, A40 at Shores Green to Ducklington Lane and Thorney Leys;
- West End Link (WEL2), Witney;
- Northern Distributor Road, Witney;
- Access to Carterton;
- East Chipping Norton Link Road;
- West Eynsham Link Road;
- Garden Village Northern Link Road;
- Accessing Oxford – northern approach via the A44

2.8. Because the IDP includes so much information, it is not possible to summarise here and so for ease of reference, we have included the full IDP schedule of infrastructure requirements at Appendix I of this funding statement.

2.9. It must be noted however that the West Oxfordshire IDP dates from 2016 and is currently in the process of being updated to take account of changing circumstances and infrastructure projects completed since that date. Some of the information set out in Appendix I is therefore not fully up to date.

2.10. The updated IDP will feed into the Council's next IFS which will cover the period 1st April 2020 – 31st March 2021 and will be published no later than December 2021.

Infrastructure Funding Gap Analysis (June 2020)

2.11. As part of its CIL draft charging schedule consultation in July 2020, the Council published an updated Infrastructure Funding Gap Analysis presenting an up to date assessment of the likely infrastructure funding gap¹ that exists in West Oxfordshire to meet identified needs.

2.12. The analysis identified a funding gap of between £192.2m and £198m. However, this is considered to be a conservative estimate as it is based on a selection of infrastructure projects where the costs are known. As a result, the actual funding gap is likely to be much higher.

¹ i.e. The shortfall in funding available to meet identified infrastructure requirements when the total cost (where known) is set against known or likely available funding.

2.13. It is estimated that CIL will generate around £25m in the period to 2031 which will make a significant contribution to meeting the funding gap. It will however remain significant, emphasising the importance of maximising funding through all available sources including Section 106 planning obligations subject to the relevant statutory tests.

Eynsham Area Infrastructure Delivery Plan (May 2019 and July 2020)

2.14. The West Oxfordshire Local Plan identifies the provision of 3,200 new homes to the north and west of Eynsham, the former comprising a new garden village of 2,200 homes and the latter, a sustainable urban extension of 1,000 homes.

2.15. Delivery of the garden village (now referred to as 'Salt Cross') is being led by an Area Action Plan (AAP) and West Eynsham through a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD).

2.16. In support of both the AAP and SPD, the District Council commissioned independent consultants AK Urbanism to prepare an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) looking in more detail at the potential infrastructure requirements associated with strategic growth at Eynsham. The Eynsham Area IDP also takes into account other planned growth in the wider area which is likely to have a cumulative impact.

2.17. Factoring in planned and likely growth within the Eynsham area, the IDP sets out an assessment of infrastructure requirements by category including community and culture, education, emergency services, green infrastructure, health and social care, transport and movement, energy, water, telecommunications and waste.

2.18. For each category, the IDP considers relevant plans and strategies, existing provision and where applicable, and relevant standards, translating this into an assessed need for the Garden Village, West Eynsham SDA and the remainder of the study area.

2.19. Thus for example it identifies a need for 394 sq.m of cultural/arts floorspace for the Garden Village, based on a standard of 73 sq.m per 1,000 population along with a requirement for 1,078 sq.m of community meeting space based on a standard of 200 sq.m per 1,000 population.

2.20. The Eynsham Area IDP will be used to inform the update of the overall West Oxfordshire IDP (2016) outlined above as well as negotiations with the relevant site promoter/developers at the Garden Village and West Eynsham SDA.

Woodstock Community and Infrastructure Delivery Plan (2019)

- 2.21. In February 2019 the Blenheim Estate and Woodstock Town Council commissioned Community First Oxfordshire (CFO) to consult the community about the current and future infrastructure needs of Woodstock.
- 2.22. Following extensive consultation during 2019, the findings of the CFO work were launched at community events held in November 2019. Their report can be viewed [online](#) including a series of supporting appendices.
- 2.23. Key local priorities identified in the CFO report include:
- A new doctor's surgery with parking on the former police station site at Hensington Road;
 - A new library - potentially as part of a Community Hub;
 - Improve Marlborough School sports facilities, relocating Woodstock FC;
 - Indoor swimming pool;
 - Invest in a pool of electric cars and develop a community transport/ lift-share scheme;
 - Community Development Worker to support new housing developments;
 - Pre-school, primary school and secondary school infrastructure;
 - Road safety improvements on the A44, Old Woodstock;
 - Provision of drop-off areas for cars and school buses in the vicinity of Woodstock Primary and The Marlborough School;
 - Blenheim to provide parking (including coaches) inside Hensington gate;
 - Wider road environment (traffic management, A44 capacity increase);
 - Environmental and low carbon improvements: biodiversity, wildlife habitats and green spaces;
 - Improvement to pavements in the vicinity of Woodstock Primary and The Marlborough School;
 - Public and school transport improvements/ initiatives;
 - Multi-storey car park at Hensington Road; and
 - Increase and improve school parking.
- 2.24. The CFO report also identifies a number of specific local priorities for two Woodstock Local Plan housing allocations at Hill Rise and Banbury Road including EV charging points, wildlife corridors, renewable energy, footpaths and cycleways, sports and play provision.
- 2.25. The report will be used to inform the update of the overall West Oxfordshire IDP (2016) outlined above, as well as negotiations with the Blenheim Estate on potential developer contributions as the two Woodstock allocations come forward.

Summary

- 2.26. The various studies outlined above help to identify the new and enhanced infrastructure that is needed to support future planned growth in West Oxfordshire in the period to 2031.
- 2.27. The studies identify a broad range of infrastructure requirements across various different 'categories' including transport, health, leisure, community safety, the environment and so on.
- 2.28. The West Oxfordshire IDP (November 2016) and updated funding gap analysis (June 2020) demonstrate that the overall cost of the infrastructure needed is substantial.
- 2.29. As a result, it is essential that the District Council seeks to maximise the contributions made through new development including, where applicable, the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and Section 106 planning obligations, as well as seeking opportunities for other sources of funding (e.g. Central Government).
- 2.30. In the following section (Section 3) we provide summary of developer contributions relating to Section 106 (S106) legal agreements allocated, collected and spent by the District Council in 2019/20.
- 2.31. In Section 4 we provide a summary of the Council's current position in respect of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and in Section 5, we provide an overview of the Council's future spending priorities.

3. Section 106 Planning Obligations

- 3.1. Planning obligations (generally referred to as Section 106 agreements) are legal obligations entered into in order to mitigate the impacts of a development proposal and make it acceptable in planning terms.
- 3.2. Most often this will be via a planning agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 – known as a Section 106 or S106 agreement and will involve both the person with an interest in the land and the Council. It can also be achieved via a unilateral undertaking without the Council's involvement.
- 3.3. Planning obligations should only be used where it is not possible to address the unacceptable impact of development through a planning condition or by the use of other statutory controls. They are legally binding and enforceable if planning permission is granted and they are tied to the land.
- 3.4. Importantly, planning obligations must meet three specific tests. In particular they must be:
 - a) Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
 - b) Directly related to the development; and
 - c) Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.
- 3.5. These tests are set out as statutory tests in CIL regulation 122 and as policy tests in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).
- 3.6. The District Council has been negotiating, securing and entering into S106 agreements for many years and has used this process to successfully deliver a raft of benefits to West Oxfordshire residents including new affordable housing, highway improvements, pedestrian and cycling routes, leisure and sports facilities, culture and art, primary health care provision and so on.

S106 contributions secured (formally agreed) in 2019/20

- 3.7. A total of £270,314 in financial contributions that will be due to West Oxfordshire District Council was secured through Section 106 agreements entered into in 2019-20. This sum is broken down in table 1, which sets out the location of each development and the type of infrastructure funds from which will contribute.
- 3.8. It is expected that the contributions listed below will come forward in a phased manner and it is also important to note that the majority of the contributions will be index-linked and therefore this total should not be taken to be an exact sum which will be received by the District Council.

3.9. There are also a number of non-monetary obligations entered into through S106 Agreements signed in 2019-2020, including on-site affordable housing provision and various covenants relating to restrictions of land or property occupation. These are categorised and listed by location in Tables 2 and 3.

Table I: Section 106 funds secured through S106 entered into in 2019-20

| Reference | Location | Type | Principal amount (£) |
|--------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|
| 16/03627/OUT | Land At Butts Piece Main Road Stanton Harcourt | Play and Recreation | 32,720 |
| | | Public Art | 6,540 |
| | | Sport and Recreation | 46,240 |
| 15/03099/FUL | Land South Of Forest Road Charlbury | Community Facilities | 20,000 |
| | | Public Art | 2,000 |
| 18/01517/FUL | Linden House Kilkenny Lane Brize Norton Carterton | Play | 26,176 |
| | | Arts | 5,040 |
| | | Sport and Recreation | 36,992 |
| | | Tree | 14,996 |
| 19/00728/FUL | Eastnor House Ducklington Lane Witney | Public Art | 25,780 |
| 19/02148/FUL | Land South East Of Pinsley Farm Long Hanborough | Village Hall contribution | * |
| 18/02841/FUL | Land South East Of 84 - 86 Grove Road Bladon | Arts | 2,100 |
| | | Play and Recreation | 8,180 |
| | | Sport and Recreation | 17,230 |
| 19/02013/FUL | 27 Market Square | Play Facilities | 8,180 |

| Reference | Location | Type | Principal amount (£) |
|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| | Witney | Sport and Recreation Facilities | 18,140 |
| | | Total | 270,314 |

*Sum of 25% of the actual sale price of each relevant Dwelling less the sales and marketing costs

Table 2: Affordable housing required from S106 planning obligations signed in 2019-2020

| Reference | Location | No. of affordable dwellings |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 16/03627/OUT | Land at Butts Piece Main Road Stanton Harcourt | 16 |
| 15/03099/FUL | Land South of Forest Road Charlbury | 13 |
| 18/01517/FUL | Linden House Kilkenny Lane Brize Norton Carterton | 8 |
| 18/03669/FUL | The Osprey Upavon Way Carterton | 46 |
| 19/02148/FUL | Land South East of Pinsley Farm Main Road Long Hanborough | 2 |
| | Total | 85 |

Table 3: Other non-monetary contributions required from S106 planning obligations signed in 2019-2020

| Reference | Location | Type |
|--------------|--|----------------|
| 18/02838/FUL | Former Art Royal Caravan Site New Close Lane Ducklington Witney | Land covenants |
| 19/02610/FUL | Land at Rynehill Farmhouse Kingham | Land covenants |
| 18/03491/FUL | Orchard Cottage Old London Road Chipping Norton | Land covenants |
| 19/01556/FUL | Delaware Cottage 1 The Butts Standlake Witney | Land covenants |
| 18/03670/FUL | Old Manor House School Lane Little Minster Minster Lovell Witney | Land covenants |
| 19/02567/FUL | Barley Hill Farm Chipping Norton Road Chadlington Chipping Norton | Land covenants |
| Reference | Location | Type |

| | | |
|--------------|--|---|
| 18/00694/FUL | Clearwater Aston Road Bampton | Land covenants |
| 18/02841/FUL | Land South East Of 84 - 86 Grove Road Bladon | Green infrastructure (Landscape/woodland management and maintenance) |

SI06 contributions collected in 2019/20

3.10. In the year 2019-2020, a total of £3,235,314.50 was collected by West Oxfordshire District Council under Section 106 planning obligations.

3.11. Of this total, £1,195,522.48 received was for affordable housing; £164,344.51 was for community facilities; £137,842 was for economic development; £26,410 was for primary education; £1,631,504.51 was for open space and leisure; and £79,691 was for transport and travel.

3.12. The majority of funds came from developments in Witney (£1,351,322.50), in particular the West Witney (North Curbridge) development. A further breakdown of funds, by town/parish is provided in Table 4 below.

Table 4: Section 106 funds collected in 2019-2020

| Location and type | Sum of SI06 receipt (£) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Aston | 106,248 |
| Open space and leisure | 106,248 |
| Bampton | 145,202 |
| Affordable housing | 73,419 |
| Community facilities | 13,982 |
| Education - primary | 26,410 |
| Open space and leisure | 31,391 |
| Burford | 68,661 |
| Affordable housing | 68,661 |
| Carterton | 78,787.58 |
| Open space and leisure | 78,787.58 |

| Location and type | Sum of S106 receipt (£) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Charlbury | 120,729 |
| Affordable housing | 120,729 |
| Chipping Norton | 186,125.4 |
| Affordable housing | 164,512.98 |
| Community facilities | 3,190.21 |
| Open space and leisure | 18,422.21 |
| Churchill | 232,072 |
| Affordable housing | 232,072 |
| Curbridge | 280,284 |
| Open space and leisure | 280,284 |
| Ducklington | 25,858 |
| Community facilities | 25,858 |
| Freeland | 155,223 |
| Community facilities | 5,164 |
| Open space and leisure | 91,509 |
| Transport and travel | 58,550 |
| Long Hanborough | 152,685.72 |
| Open space and leisure | 152,685.72 |
| Northmoor | 288,655 |
| Affordable housing | 283,834 |
| Open space and leisure | 4,821 |
| Shipton under Wychwood | 5,876.3 |
| Community facilities | 5,876.3 |
| Tackley | 37,585 |
| Open space and leisure | 37,585 |
| Witney | 1,351,322.5 |
| Affordable housing | 252,294.5 |
| Community facilities | 110,274 |
| Economic development | 137,842 |
| Open space and leisure | 829,771 |
| Transport and travel | 21,141 |
| Total | 3,235,314.5 |

Section 106 funds spent in 2019-2020

3.13. Use of Section 106 money in 2019/20 by the Council only involved the transfer of funds to third parties. These transfers totalled £1,492,031.01 and can be seen broken down in table 5 by location of the development from which the funds were received, the third party recipient and the specific contribution.

3.14. Of the funds transferred to third parties in 2019/20, £1,316,869.89 was paid over to Oxfordshire County Council to be spent on various infrastructure items. Further detail on the expenditure of these funds will be available in the Oxfordshire County Council Infrastructure Funding Statement.

Table 5: Section 106 contributions transferred to third parties in 2019/20

| Planning Reference | Location | Recipient | Contribution | Amount (£) |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 13/1752/P/FP | Carterton | Carterton Town Council | LEAP maintenance | 81,241 |
| 16/02657/FUL | Curbridge | Curbridge and Lew Parish Council | Play area | 12,990 |
| 08/1341/P/FP | Eynsham | Eynsham Parish Council | Play facilities and recreation | 831.93 |
| 08/1265/P/FP | Eynsham | Eynsham Parish Council | Play facilities and recreation | 2,677.19 |
| 15/04041/FUL | Eynsham | Eynsham Parish Council | Play facilities and recreation | 4,044 |
| 16/01353/OUT | Freeland | Freeland Parish Council | Sport and recreation | 52,236 |
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Adult learning | 4,218 |
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Special educational needs (SEN) | 42,398 |
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Library | 35,330 |
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Museum | 2,078 |

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Day care facilities | 29,689 |
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Strategic waste | 26,602 |
| 13/1465/P/OP | Bampton | Oxfordshire County Council | Education - primary | 26,410 |
| 13/1752/P/FP | Carterton | Oxfordshire County Council | Education - primary | 642,216.68 |
| 13/1752/P/FP | Carterton | Oxfordshire County Council | Highways | 366,971 |
| 13/1752/P/FP | Carterton | Oxfordshire County Council | Property infrastructure | 130,177.21 |
| 16/04244/FUL | Chipping Norton | Oxfordshire County Council | Parking control | 7,500 |
| 16/04244/FUL | Chipping Norton | Oxfordshire County Council | Travel plan monitoring | 3,280 |
| 16/00602/FUL | Witney | West Oxfordshire Community Transport | Community bus | 21,141 |
| | | | Total | 1,492,031.01 |

SI06 money which has been received by the Council but not spent in 2019/20

- 3.15. The CIL Regulations require local authorities to report on the total amount of money under any planning obligations which was received before the reported year which has not been allocated by the authority, and which was allocated but not spent during the reported year.
- 3.16. As of 1st April 2020, the Council held a balance of approximately £7.3m in funds received from SI06 planning obligations.
- 3.17. This figure is not currently available broken down into allocated and non-allocated funds, however the Council intends to report this through its update of the IFS for 2020/21.

4. Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

- 4.1. CIL is a charge which can be levied by local authorities on new development in their area to help fund supporting infrastructure. The levy only applies in areas where the local authority has consulted on, and approved, a charging schedule which sets out its levy rates and has published the schedule on its website.
- 4.2. The charging authority must set out its CIL rate on a pound (£) per m² basis. However, there is no standard rate and the regulations allow charging authorities to set different rates depending on infrastructure needs and the viability of development in that particular location.
- 4.3. The District Council consulted on a [draft CIL charging schedule](#) from 10 July – 21 August 2020. The proposed rates for residential developments vary according to size and location as follows:

| Zone | 1 to 10 dwellings | 11+ dwellings | Extra-care housing | Strategic sites |
|--------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Low | £200 | £100 | £100 | £0 |
| Medium | £250 | £125 | £100 | £0 |
| High | £300 | £150 | £100 | £0 |

- 4.4. It can be seen that the proposed CIL charges for larger residential schemes of 11 or more homes are much lower than smaller schemes of 1 – 10 dwellings. This reflects the fact that larger schemes make a much greater contribution through a planning obligation including for example affordable housing provision, transport improvements and sports and leisure facilities.
- 4.5. Once its charging schedule has been adopted, money received by the Council through CIL will be put into a general infrastructure fund that will be used to fund a variety of new infrastructure projects across the District. CIL can also be used to increase the capacity of existing infrastructure or to repair failing existing infrastructure, provided it is necessary to support development.
- 4.6. Although the Council will be the collecting authority of CIL receipts within the District, it will not always be responsible for the delivery of projects which it funds. Partnership working with Oxfordshire County Council (which is the highways, education and lead local flood authority), will be required to deliver much of the infrastructure needed to support development in the District.

- 4.7. Importantly, a proportion of any CIL receipts must also be passed onto the relevant Town or Parish Council. The basic proportion is 15% which increases to 25% where an adopted Neighbourhood Plan is in place.
- 4.8. The District Council is seeking to adopt its CIL charging schedule in spring 2021 with implementation to follow shortly thereafter.
- 4.9. The District Council's updated Infrastructure Funding Gap Analysis (June 2020) estimates that CIL could generate around **£25m** in revenue over the Local Plan period to 2031, including £24.5m from residential development and £500,000 from non-residential development.
- 4.10. Future updates of the Council's Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS) will report on CIL receipts and expenditure accordingly.

5. Future Spending Priorities

- 5.1. The District Council's future spending priorities for new and enhanced infrastructure in West Oxfordshire will largely be dictated by the West Oxfordshire Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) 2016, updated as appropriate to take account of more recent infrastructure planning work undertaken for Eynsham and Woodstock as well as other relevant changes in circumstance (e.g. projects having been completed or additional sources of funding having been made available etc.).
- 5.2. Future funding will be drawn from a variety of sources including Section 106 legal agreements (planning obligations) CIL (when introduced in 2021) as well as other potential sources of funding where applicable and available (e.g. from Central Government).
- 5.3. CIL is effectively a general financial contribution sought from development towards meeting the broader infrastructure needs of the District, whereas S106 planning obligations are much more site-specific and intended to help mitigate the more local impacts of a particular development.
- 5.4. Importantly, changes made to the CIL regulations in 2019 have brought CIL and planning obligations closer together and it is now possible to use funds from both CIL and section 106 planning obligations to pay for the same piece of infrastructure, thus providing the District and County Councils with much more flexibility on how different contributions are spent.
- 5.5. There is also no longer any restriction on the number of planning obligations that may be 'pooled' towards the same item of infrastructure. Previously this was restricted to no more than five obligations.
- 5.6. The District Council has recently published a [draft Developer Contributions Supplementary Planning Document](#) (SPD) which explains the relationship between S106 planning obligations and CIL and how the Council envisages the two 'regimes' inter-relating in the future.
- 5.7. In essence, the Council will use a combination of Section 106 legal agreements and CIL to fund future infrastructure provision.
- 5.8. Appendix 2 is taken from the draft developer contributions SPD and comprises a summary table of developer contributions by anticipated mechanism including Section 106 and CIL. It also indicates where Section 278 agreements may be used (similar to S106 agreements but relating to highway matters) as well as where planning conditions may be used.

5.9. To summarise, S106 agreements will continue to be sought in respect of the following matters:

- Affordable housing
- Custom/self-build housing (5% provision on sites of 100 or more homes)
- Education
- Highways and access improvements
- Public transport
- Healthy and active travel
- Travel plans
- Indoor sports and leisure
- Outdoor sports
- Play areas
- Allotments and other community growing space
- Other green space
- Public rights of way
- Biodiversity mitigation and enhancement
- Air quality
- Flood risk, water management and sustainable drainage
- Public Realm and Public Art
- Community facilities
- Burial space
- Community Employment Plans (CEPs)

5.10. CIL revenue will be used to supplement any funding gaps that may exist in respect of the above matters. More specifically, CIL will be used to help fund the following:

- Community services
- Health care
- Fire and rescue
- Policing/community safety
- Ambulance service

5.11. Because they are proposed to be exempt from having to pay CIL, S106 planning obligations may be sought in respect of these matters from the five Local Plan strategic housing allocations².

² Garden Village, West Eynsham SDA, East Chipping Norton SDA, North Witney SDA and East Witney SDA

Appendix I - Schedule of Future Infrastructure Requirements

Physical Infrastructure

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| <u>Highway Improvements</u> | | | | | | | |
| Downs Road/A40 junction improvements, Witney | Landowners OCC WODC | £6.1m (2012) | £1.25m held (S106) | £4.85m | S106 (West Witney SDA) | Critical 2016 – 2018 | The scheme is a prerequisite of development at West Witney |
| Shores Green Slip Roads (SGSR) Witney | Landowners OCC WODC | £5.6m (2011) | £1.16m | £4.44 | S106 (East Witney SDA) | Critical 2016 - 2021 | The scheme is a prerequisite of development at East Witney |
| Improvements to Bridge Street and Staple Hall junction, Witney including public realm and traffic management measures. | Landowners OCC WODC | To be identified | None | To be identified | Currently S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2021 - 2023 | To be delivered following the opening of the SGSR. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Improvements to Oxford Hill/Cogges Hill Road/Jubilee Way junction, Witney | Landowners OCC WODC | To be identified | None | To be identified | SI06 (East Witney SDA) (SI06) | Critical 2016 - 2021 | Required to mitigate development at East Witney |
| Re-designating the A4095 via Jubilee Way, Oxford Hill, A40 at Shores Green to Ducklington Lane and Thorney Leys. | OCC WODC | To be identified | None | To be identified | Currently SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2021 - 2023 | To be delivered following the opening of SGSR |
| West End Link (WEL2), Witney | Landowners OCC WODC | £23.2m (2015) | None | £23.2m | To be facilitated by development of land at north Witney (SI06) | Critical Long-term (2021 – 2026) | Necessary to support strategic growth to the north of Witney |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---------------------------|---|--|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| Northern Distributor Road, Witney | Landowners OCC WODC | To be identified | None | To be identified | To be delivered by development of land at north Witney (S106) | Critical Long-term (2021 – 2026) | Necessary to support strategic growth to the north of Witney |
| Improve access by road to Carterton and RAF Brize Norton, to include: a) Improve B4477 Minster Road from Monahan Way to A40 b) Upgrade B4477 to A Classification c) West facing slips at A40/ B4477 | OCC WODC Developers | a) £3,954,276 b) £150,000 c) £7,276,583 Total - £11,380,859 (2015) | £1,720,000 secured and committed | £9.7m | S106 LEP CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 - 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|------------------|--|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| General highway improvements in Chipping Norton. Remove Primary Route Status / National Lorry route from A44 and implement associated weight restrictions in Chipping Norton centre | OCC Glos County Council | To be identified | £20,797 towards transport/ highway infrastructure in Chipping Norton. £18,400 held towards zebra crossing on Over Norton Road Total - £39,197 (S106) | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 – 2021 | Would help to deliver improvements to the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) |
| East Chipping Norton Link Road | Developers, landowners Oxfordshire County Council | To be identified | None | To be identified | Delivered by development to the East of Chipping Norton (Tank Farm) | Critical Long-term (2021 – 2026) | Would alleviate traffic through the centre of Chipping Norton and help to deliver improvements to the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|---|--|
| West Eynsham Link Road | Developers, landowners Oxfordshire County Council | To be identified | None | To be identified | Delivered by development to the west of Eynsham | Critical Long-term (2021 – 2026) | |
| West Oxfordshire Garden Village Northern Link Road | Developers, landowners Oxfordshire County Council | To be identified | None | To be identified | Delivered by development to the west of Eynsham | Critical Long-term (2021 – 2026) | |
| Accessing Oxford – northern approach via A44 | Developers, External funding partners, Oxfordshire County Council, Oxford City Council | To be identified | Funding sources to be identified. Oxfordshire County Council is the lead authority. | To be identified. | SI06 CIL (when introduced) External funding sources. | Critical 2016 - 2021 | Congestion on the A44 is a deterrent to growth in West Oxfordshire |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---|----------------|---|-------------|--|------------------------|---|
| <u>Public transport Improvements</u> | | | | | | | |
| A40 Science Transit (Eynsham P&R and eastbound bus lane)improvements – Phase 1 | Developers, External funding partners, Oxfordshire County Council | £36.2m | £35m Local Growth Fund and £1.2m Local Contribution | None | External funding – Local Growth Fund | Critical 2016-2021 | Help relieve congestion on the A40 eastbound into Oxford |
| A40 Longer Term Strategy- Wolvercote to Eynsham P&R westbound bus lane and dual carriageway between Witney Shores Green interchange and Eynsham P&R improvements – Phase 2 | Developers, External funding partners, Oxfordshire County Council | £55m | None | £55m | External funding – Local Growth Fund. S106 CIL (when introduced) | Critical 2021 - 2026 | Help relieve congestion on the A40 westbound from Oxford. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|----------------|--|-------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Enhance frequency of S1/S2/S7 bus service between Witney, Carterton, Eynsham and Oxford | OCC Bus companies Developers WODC | £2m | None. Funding from development to be secured through S106. | £2m | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable Transport Fund) S106 from West of Eynsham and North of A40 Specific frequency improvement packages procured directly between developer and bus operator | Critical 2016 - 2021 | Peak frequency increases could be facilitated through bus productivity improvements if sufficient bus priority on the A40 and A44 is introduced |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---|------------------|---|------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| Improve public transport in Carterton including bus stops and Real Time information. | OCC Bus companies Developers | To be identified | £8,550 for upgrading existing bus stops and Real Time information in Carterton (S106) | To be identified | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable Transport Fund) CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 – 2021 | |
| Provision of bus stops near RAF Brize Norton main gate and Carterton Road in Carterton. | OCC, WODC, Bus companies, Developers | £118,000 (2012) | None | £118,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |
| Enhance the frequency of the bus service between Woodstock, Witney and Burford via Hanborough | OCC WODC Bus companies Developers Network | £1m | £29,892 towards retention of existing bus services serving Woodstock (S106) £10,000 towards railway access improvements on | £660,108 | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable Transport Fund) | Necessary 2016-2021 | Currently one bus per hour is provided by Stagecoach on the 233 service. This would provide two buses an hour. Links with rail network at |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|------------------|---|------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| Station | Rail Rail providers | | A4095 in Long Hanborough £300,000 from West Witney (North Curbridge development) | | S106 CIL (when introduced) | | Hanborough. |
| Oxford to Chipping Norton Bus Service Frequency Enhancement | OCC WODC Bus companies Developers | £1m | To be identified. | To be identified | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable Transport Fund) CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 – 2021 | Pump priming the Oxford to Chipping Norton S3 bus service to increase the frequency to two buses per hour |
| Alterations to bus stopping arrangements at West Street bus | OCC WODC Bus | To be identified | None | To be identified | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable | Preferred 2016-2021 | Alterations to bus stopping arrangements in West Street to enable three |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|------------------|---|------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| stops, Chipping Norton | companies Developers | | | | Transport Fund) CIL (when introduced) | | buses to stop in each direction |
| Promote and enhance the Railbus from Chipping Norton to Kingham Station | OCC WODC Bus companies Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | Rail/ bus providers CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2021 | |
| Upgrade stops along S1/S2/S3 bus routes to premium standard throughout the District | OCC Developers WODC | To be identified | £5,010 held towards bus stop improvements on the A40 (S106) | To be identified | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable Transport Fund) CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016-2021 | Facilities for cycles should be identified at key potential interchange stops |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------|--|---|------------------|--|------------------------|----------|
| Provision of new stops for S1 / S2 to serve housing and employment development North of A40 at Eynsham | OCC, bus operators | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 from West of Eynsham and North of A40 | Necessary 2021 - 2026 | |
| Provision of 2 x new buses to increase frequency along A40 serving new development at Eynsham | OCC, bus operators | £1.4m - £1.6m | None | £1.4m - £1.6m | S106 from West of Eynsham and North of A40 | Necessary 2021 - 2026 | |
| New and improved bus stops between Corn Street & Oxford Hill to serve the S1/S2 route in Witney | OCC Developers | To be identified (each new bus stop will cost in the region of £50,000) | £37,394 for public transport infrastructure in Witney. £6,384 for improvements to bus stops on Newland. Total - £43,778 | To be identified | External funding (such as the Local Sustainable Transport Fund) CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016-2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | | | (S106) | | | | |
| Enhance and/or develop community transport services, where other services do not meet needs across the District | OCC WODC Community transport groups Bus companies Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | Community fund raising Other funding streams to be identified. | Necessary 2016-2031 | |
| <u>Walking, cycling and riding improvements</u> | | | | | | | |
| Pedestrian refuge crossing on Mill Street, Witney | OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 – 2019 | |
| Pedestrian crossing on Bridge Street in Witney | OCC WODC | To be identified | £115,072 held towards crossing on either Bridge Street or Witan Way (S106) | To be identified. Low risk due to | S106 | Necessary 2016 – 2019 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|-------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | | | | money secured via S106. | | | |
| Crossing on Curbridge Road, Witney | OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019- 2024 | |
| Completion of foot/cycleway from Woodstock Road to Newland, Witney | OCC Developers | £285,100 (2012) | None | £285,100 | To be identified S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |
| Extension of the Station Lane cycle route along Witan Way to Langdale Gate and the town centre, Witney | OCC Developers | £324,500 (2012) | None | £324,500 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Cycleway along Downs Road and to include Range Road, Witney | OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | Currently S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |
| Pedestrian crossing at Coral Springs, Witney | OCC/ Developers | To be identified | £185,000 secured for controlled pedestrian crossing at Coral Springs (S106) | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 – 2019 | |
| Pedestrian crossing at Station Lane, Witney | OCC/ Developers | To be identified | TBC | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 – 2019 | |
| Pedestrian/cycle improvements from Curbridge Road along Thorney Leys to Station Lane employment area in Witney | OCC/ Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | OCC funding and other external funding. CIL (when introduced) | Necessary Long-term 2019 - 2024 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|--|-------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| West Witney footpath link | OCC | £15,000 | None | £15,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| West Witney new bridleway link and improvements to existing route | OCC/ Developers | N/a – fully funded | On-site provision | None | S106 Developer undertaking works | Necessary 2016-2019 | |
| West Witney cycletrack link | OCC | £150,000 | None | £150,000 | S106 | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| West Witney bridleway surface upgrade | OCC/ Developer | £50,000 | S106 West Witney development | None | S106 | Necessary 2016-2019 | |
| Shores Green linking footpath | OCC | £15,000 | None | £15,000 | S106 highways scheme | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Witney North Footpath to bridleway status upgrade | OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|--|------------------------------|----------|
| Witney to Brize Norton bridleway | OCC/ Sustrans | £250,000 | None | £250,000 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Improve footway and cycle infrastructure in Carterton including the area in the vicinity of RAF Brize Norton main gate on Carterton Road | OCC Developers | £46,000 (2012) | £10,020 held towards transport improvements at Carterton to include improved pedestrian and cyclist links, and highway conditions | £35,980 | OCC funding and other external funding. CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |
| Cycleway along Brize Norton Road to provide a formal cycle route from east Carterton to the town centre | OCC WODC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | SI06 OCC funding and other external funding. CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|--|-------------|--|--------------------------|----------|
| Cycleway along Alvescot Road to provide a formal cycle route into Carterton town centre | OCC WODC Developers | £195,000 (2012) | None | £195,000 | OCC funding and other external funding. CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |
| Witney to Carterton cycleway | OCC WODC Developers | £574,340 | None | £574,340 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | |
| Carterton Perimeter Path status upgrade to bridleway/cycle track and surface improvements | OCC/ MOD | £140,000 | None | £140,000 | SI06 | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Carterton East improvements to footpath | OCC/ Developer | £10,000 | None | £10,000 | SI06 | Preferred 2016-2019 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|--|------------------------------|----------|
| Potential cycle route from Eynsham to Oxford following the B4044 | OCC Bike Safe | £ 3-4m (2016) | £30,000. (£5,000 from Vale of the White Horse District Council and £25,000 from Communities and Local Government) | £1.22m | CLG funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |
| Upgrading of cycle route along A40 (A40 Science Transit) | OCC, WODC Developers | To be identified | £35m secured from Local Growth Fund | To be identified | External funding | Preferred 2016-2021 | |
| Upgrading of pedestrian / cycle / bridleways in and around Eynsham, including a cycle route to Hanborough Station | OCC WODC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 from West Eynsham and North of A40 development | Preferred 2021 - 2026 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Provision of crossings of the A40 at Eynsham (A40 Science Transit) | OCC | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 from North of A40 at Eynsham | Necessary 2021-2031 | |
| Garden Village Bridge across the A40 to Eynsham. | Developers, landowners, OCC | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 from North of A40 at Eynsham | Necessary 2021-2031 | |
| South Leigh Bridleway connecting link | OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2021 | |
| South Leigh Footpath to bridleway status upgrade | OCC | £15,000 | None | £15,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| South Leigh to Eynsham Bridleway surface upgrade | OCC | £25,000 | None | £25,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| High Cogges Footpath to bridleway status upgrade | OCC/ Lower Windrush Valley Project (LWVP) | N/a – fully funded | Gill Mill/Smiths onsite provision | None | Developer undertaking works | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Ducklington new Bridleway/Footpath connecting links | OCC/LWVP | N/a – fully funded | Gill Mill/Smiths onsite provision | None | Developer undertaking works | Preferred 2016 – 2019 | |
| Ducklington Footpath to Bridleway status upgrade | OCC/LWVP | N/a – fully funded | Gill Mill/Smiths offsite provision | None | S106 | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Ducklington bridleway connecting link | OCC/LWVP | £20,000 | None | £20,000 | Gill Mill minerals and waste | Preferred 2016-2019 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|-------------------|----------------|--|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | development | | |
| Ducklington The Moors surface improvement | OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Shilton Bridleway surface improvements | OCC | £20,000 | None | £20,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Shilton Bridleway connecting links | OCC | £50,000 | None | £50,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Signet Footpath upgrade to Bridleway | OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Kencot bridleway link | OCC | £35,000 | None | £35,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Leu linking off-road footpath | OCC | £15,000 | None | £15,000 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Northmoor footpath to bridleway upgrade including lock crossing improvements | OCC/ EA | £45,000 | None | £45,000 | SI06 Charitable bodies | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Bablock Hythe crossing of River Thames | OCC | up to £1.5m | None | £1.5m | SI06 Charitable bodies | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Skinners Bridge crossing of River Thames | OCC | £200,000 | None | £200,000 | SI06 Charitable bodies | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Bampton extension of bridleway to Aston | OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | SI06 | Preferred 2016-2019 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Road | | | | | CIL (when introduced) | | |
| Aston bridleway upgrade of footpath to Yelford | OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Clanfield and Bampton creation of linking bridleway | OCC | £50,000 | None | £50,000 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Northmoor footpath to bridleway upgrade | OCC/ EA | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | SI06 Charitable bodies | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Standlake to Cote bridleway upgrade of footpath and additional link | OCC/LWVP | £40,000 | None | £40,000 | SI06 Charitable bodies | Preferred 2019-2024 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|-------------------|----------------|--|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| Standlake to Cutmill Farm upgrade to bridleway | OCC/LWVP | £40,000 | None | £40,000 | SI06 Charitable bodies | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Creation of bridleway at Tar Lane to Beard Mill & B4449 | OCC/LWVP | £50,000 | None | £50,000 | SI06 | Preferred 2016-2019 | |
| Cycle/foot path in selected locations along B4449 to link up existing FP/BWs that end at the road. | OCC | £100,000 | None | £100,000 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Stanton Harcourt new bridleway link from gravel pits and upgrade to bridleway | OCC/LWVP | £40,000 | None | £40,000 | SI06 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2019-2024 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---------------------------|----------------|---|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Creation of Windrush Path as continuous definitive public footpath | OCC/LWVP | £40,000 | None | £40,000 | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2019-2024 | |
| Parking | | | | | | | |
| Potential provision of additional off-street public car parking spaces in Witney | WODC OCC Developers | £3.2m | £300,000 secured towards Witney town centre improvements as part of development proposal at Springfield Nurseries (S106) and £250,000 from West Witney SDA for town centre improvements. Totalling £550,000. Half of this amount to be allocated towards car parking | £2.9m | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | £3.2m is the estimated cost of a 400 space multi-storey car park (conservative estimate based on £8,000 per space). |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| | | | in Witney. Total - £275,000 (S106) | | | | |
| Potential provision of additional off-street public car parking in Chipping Norton | WODC OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |
| Potential additional off-street public car parking and improved coach parking in Burford | WODC OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |
| Potential additional off-street public car parking and improved coach parking in Woodstock | WODC OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2019 - 2024 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|-------------------|--|--|------------------|--|---------------------------|----------|
| Water Supply | | | | | | | |
| Upgrade of the supply boosters at Woodstock | Thames Water | Not known. Detailed costs to be calculated in TW's Business Plan 2015-2020. | n/a | To be identified | Thames Water Business Plan Developers | Necessary -2016 – 2021 | |
| Waste Water Disposal and Treatment | | | | | | | |
| Upgrade of sewage treatment works at Witney and additional infrastructure to meet water quality objective | Thames Water | Not known. Detailed costs to be calculated in TW's Business Plan 2015-2020. | n/a | To be identified | Thames Water Business Plan Developers | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | |
| Substantial infrastructure upgrades through the centre of Chipping Norton | Thames Water | Not known. Detailed costs to be calculated in TW's Business | n/a | To be identified | Thames Water Business Plan | Necessary 2016-2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|-------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| to convey flows towards the sewage treatment works to the West of Chipping Norton. | | Plan 2015-2020. | | | Developers | | |
| <u>Surface Water Drainage, Flood Alleviation and Defence</u> | | | | | | | |
| Provision and maintenance of sustainable drainage systems on development sites | County Council Developers | Costs to be determined on a site by site basis | None. Funded by the developer and managed by the County Council. | n/a | OCC to collect funds. | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | All new development should incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage systems |
| Diversion works. Colwell Brook, Witney | WODC Environment Agency | £30,000 | £30,000 | None | Funding administered by the Environment Agency | Necessary 2016 – 2016 | Following a bid to the EA funding has now been received by the District Council to enable further works to be |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|----------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | carried out |
| Construction of storage area upstream of industrial estate in Crawley | WODC | £200,000 | None. | £200,000 | WODC revenue S106 | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | The EA has confirmed that they are not in a position to assist in the funding of this scheme. |
| Construction of upstream storage areas and reintroduction of water meadow in Shilton | WODC Environment Agency | £80,000 | £80,000 | None | Funding administered by the Environment Agency | Necessary 2016 – 2016 | Although the scheme has been funded by the EA, maintenance costs are estimated to be approximately £1,000 per year. |
| Construction of a flood storage area north of Bampton | WODC Environment Agency | To be identified | None | To be identified | To be identified from a combination of potential | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | The EA consider that currently the costs outweigh the benefits but |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|--|-------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| | | | | | sources (see comment) | | if partnership funding could be secured from other sources, the EA would help fund these works. |
| Culvert repairs and ditch creation/enlarging in Curbridge | WODC Environment Agency | £45,000 | £45,000 | None | Funding administered by the Environment Agency for capital works. Maintenance works to be funded by WODC from Council revenue and other funding streams. | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | Although funding for the works has been secured, future maintenance will still be required. This will cost in the region of £1,000-£2,000 per year. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|----------------|--|-------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| West End Link Road flood storage area on the flood plain of the River Windrush upstream of Witney | WODC, OCC, Environment Agency, Developers | £2.2m | None | £2.2m | SI06 funding EA funding Other funding sources to be identified. | Preferred 2021 - 2026 | Currently the EA consider that the costs outweigh the benefits but the link road may present an opportunity for the Environment Agency to attract partnership funding for a combined scheme. |
| Upstream storage above Hailey Road in Witney | WODC Environment Agency Developers | £3.8m | None | £3.8m | Funding sources to be identified. The EA is unlikely to fund these works | Necessary (2021 – 2026) | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | (Witney Flood Alleviation report dated April 2014) | | |
| Ditch clearance programme throughout the District | WODC Developers | £15,000 annually. (£225,000 to 2031) | £20,000 held for ditch clearance from East Eynsham Development (S106) | £225,000 | Council revenue Other funding sources to be identified. | Necessary 2016 - 2031 | |
| Maintaining critical watercourses in unregistered land throughout the District. | WODC Developers | £15,000 annually (£225,000 to 2031) | None | £225,000 | Council revenue Other funding sources to be identified. | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |
| Legal and practical investigations and surveys on land drainage and flooding issues | WODC Developers | Minimum of £10,000 annually (£150,000 to 2031) | None | £150,000 (minimum) | Council revenue Other funding sources to be | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| throughout the District | | | | | identified. | | |
| Assisting residents with problems involving private piped systems throughout the District | WODC Thames Water | £10,000 annually (£150,000 to 2031) | None | £150,000 | Thames Water funding | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |
| Energy | | | | | | | |
| Potential reinforcement of gas network to support additional load as part of strategic development sites. | SGN Developer | To be identified | None | To be identified | SGN Development | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |
| Potential reinforcement of gas network at Carterton to support growth | WWU Developer | To be identified | None | To be identified | WWU Developer | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| Potential reinforcement of electricity network to support growth in Witney, Carterton and Eynsham. | SSE DNO Developers | To be identified | n/a | To be identified | DNO (Distribution Network Operator) Development | Necessary 2016 - 2031 | |
| Potential provision of biomass processing, decentralised, renewable and low carbon energy as part of major developments throughout the District | Developers WODC | Costs determined on a site by site basis | None | To be identified | SI06 (major development) | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Contributions from new development towards local wood fuel initiatives where appropriate. |
| Waste and Recycling | | | | | | | |
| Small-scale 'local' waste management facilities for re-use, and repair | OCC WODC Waste | To be identified | None | To be identified | Waste management companies, Third sector | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|------------------|--|------------------|--|--------------------------|---|
| recycling and composting throughout the District | operators, Third sector organisations | | | | organisations S106 | | |
| Improvements to the strategic waste management and reuse infrastructure serving West Oxfordshire | OCC WODC Waste Operators Third Sector organisations | To be identified | £111,639 held/ secured for the provision and/ or extension and/ or improvement of infrastructure for strategic waste management (S106) | To be identified | Waste management companies, Third Sector Organisations S106 | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | OCC agreed a HWRC strategy on 15 December 2015. This includes proposals for site rationalisation in the long term. The provision of any new or refurbished sites to serve the district will take into account the need for increased capacity arising |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | from new development. |
| New waste transfer station | OCC WODC Waste Operators | £3m to serve 20,000 households | None | In the region of £1.5m | Waste management companies Other funding sources to be identified. | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | A new site serving 20,000 households costs £3,000,000 |
| Waste collection, re-use and recycling facilities throughout the District | Developers WODC | To be identified | None | To be identified | Development (£106 for on-site provision) Other funding sources to be identified. | Critical 2016 – 2031 | |
| Telecommunications – Superfast Broadband | | | | | | | |
| Provision of superfast broadband throughout the | Central Government BDUK | £6.4m | OCC Better Broadband Project has funded 90% of the rollout which has | None | Co-investment model - £1.6m loan from WODC, | Necessary 100% rollout is | Essential for sustainable economic growth |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|------------------|--|--------------------------------|---|
| District | OCC BT Network builders and operators Developers | | now been completed. | | £1.6m grant from BDUK, | anticipated by the end of 2017 | |
| Mobile Communications | | | | | | | |
| Provision of 4G mobile phone coverage | Central Government (DCLG and Ofcom) Network providers | To be identified. | None. | To be identified | Central Govt funding. External providers. | Necessary 2016 - 2031 | Essential for sustainable economic growth |

Social Infrastructure

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|-------------------|--|--|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Education | | | | | | | |
| 1.5FE Primary school (including nursery), on a 2.22ha site to enable future expansion to 2FE if required, West Witney | OCC Developers | Approximately £8.2m (at 4Q14 price base) | Fully funded by West Witney SDA | None | S106 | Critical 2016 - 2021 | Potential for school to expand to also meet the needs of other development in this area. |
| 2FE Primary school (including nursery), on a 2.22ha site North Witney | OCC Developers | Approximately £9m (at 4Q14 price base) | To be fully funded by North Witney SDA | None | S106 | Critical 2021 – 2031 | To be provided as part of any strategic development to the north of Witney |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1 x 1.5FE Primary school (including nursery), on a 2.22ha site to enable future expansion to 2FE if required, West Eynsham | OCC Developers | Approximately £8.2m (at 4Q14 price base) | To be funded by development to the west of Eynsham | None | S106 | Critical 2016 - 2021 (subject to timing of housing delivery) | To be provided as part of any strategic development to West Eynsham, based on 1000 homes |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|-------------------|--|---|-------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Up to 2 x 2FE Primary schools (including nursery), on 2 x 2.22ha, North of A40 at Eynsham | OCC Developers | Approximately £18m (£9m each - at 4Q14 price base) | To be funded by development at proposed Garden Village north of A40 | None | SI06 | Critical 2021 – 2031 | To be provided as part of any strategic development to the north of the A40 at Eynsham. Based on 2,200 homes, it may be that 1x3FE school is appropriate, but two schools would minimise travel to school distances and provide a better foundation for any longer term additional growth. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| 1 x 2FE Primary school (including nursery), on a 2.22ha site, East of Chipping Norton | OCC Developers | Approximately £9m (at 4Q14 price base) | To be funded by development to the east of Chipping Norton | None | S106 | Critical 2021 – 2031 | To be provided as part of any strategic development to the east of Chipping Norton |
| Improvements and capacity increases to existing primary school provision by approximately 1 form entry in Witney and surrounding area | OCC Developers Schools | To be identified | £47,696 held/ secured towards extension and/ or improvement to primary school infrastructure serving Witney (S106) | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) Other funding sources to be identified. | Critical 2016 - 2031 | Expansion of West Witney Primary School to 2 form entry will cost in the region of 2.3m and will be funded primarily by S106 Agreements associated with development to the west of Witney. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| IFE Primary school (including nursery), on a 2.22ha site to enable future expansion to 2FE if required, Carterton | OCC Developers | Approximately £6.5mm (at 4Q14 price base) | To be funded by development to the east of Carterton | None | S106 | Critical 2016 - 2021 | To be provided as part of the committed urban extension to the east of Carterton. Expansion potential would also meet the needs of further Carterton growth. |
| Improvements and capacity increases to existing primary school provision in Carterton and surrounding areas | OCC Developers Schools | To be identified | None | To be identified | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Critical 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|---|
| Expansion of Woodstock Primary School to 2 form entry, related to any strategic allocation | OCC Developers Schools | To be identified | None | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Critical 2016 - 2031 | Additional site area would be required, and/or some existing facilities reprovided off-site |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| Potential capacity increases at primary schools throughout the District | OCC Developers Schools | To be identified | Funding held/ secured from various S106 Agreements towards the Phase 2 expansion of Woodstock Primary School, the extension of Eynsham Primary School, extension and/or improvements to primary school infrastructure serving Enstone and infrastructure improvements for primary schools serving Stanton Harcourt/ Sutton totalling approx. £600,000 | To be identified | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Critical 2016 - 2031 | Additional land may be required in some instances to supplement the existing school site area, e.g. at Hanborough. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| New secondary education facility, Witney | OCC Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified | County Council funding S106 | Critical 2016 – 2031 | Land to be made available as part of West Witney SDA (S106). OCC to consult on possible models of provision. |
| Expansion of Wood Green School and/or Henry Box School, Witney | OCC Developers Schools | To be identified | Funding held/ secured for extending/ improving senior school infrastructure in Witney - £44,639 (S106) | To be identified | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Critical 2016 – 2031 | May not be required if a new facility is provided. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|------------------|--|-------------------|---|------------------------|----------|
| Expansion of Carterton Community College | OCC Carterton Community College Developers | To be identified | None | To be identified. | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016-2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Expansion of secondary school capacity serving Eynsham | OCC Academy trust Developers | To be identified | Extension and/ or improvements of secondary school infrastructure serving Eynsham - £324,148 (S106). DfE Targeted Basic Need Programme funding secured by OCC for recent expansion of Bartholomew School, £1,731,872 | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) | Critical 2016 - 2031 | Bartholomew School recently expanded, however to meet the scale of housing growth indicated an additional site would be needed, which could provide for a split-site Bartholomew School. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Extension to Marlborough School, Woodstock | OCC Developers | To be identified | | To be identified | S106 CIL (when introduced) Other funding sources to be identified. | Critical 2016 - 2031 | |
| Potential specialist provision for children with special educational needs throughout the District | OCC Developers | To be identified | £41,166 held/ secured for extensions and /or improvement of special educational needs infrastructure across the District (S106) | To be identified | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|---|--|--------------------------|--|
| New adult learning centre, Witney | OCC Developers | ³ If new build required - £760,000 to build, excluding land plus £240,000 required to 2031 for new classroom provision across the District. Total - £1m | £7,732 held/ secured for infrastructure/ facilities for adult and community learning across the District (S106) | Approx. £1m (excluding land and assuming new building required) | Potential developer provision (S106) through new community building County Council funding Potential for CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | Any new centre would need to be accessible to the whole community of Witney and the surrounding area |

³ Based on data from Oxfordshire County Council, two new classrooms to provide facilities for adult learning cost £440,000 at 1st Quarter 2012 price base. This will provide facilities for 1,350 learners per annum which equates to £326 per learner. According to the County Council, at least 5% of the adult population is likely to take up adult learning and this equates to £16 per person.

Based on the Census 2011 and the 2014 based Sub National Population Projections, the population increase of adults in West Oxfordshire will be approximately 15,000 from 2011 to 2031.

16 (contribution per person) x 15,000 (projected population increase to 2031) = £240,000

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---|---------------------|---|----------------|---|--------------------------|----------|
| Leisure and Sport | | | | | | | |
| Additional outdoor playing pitch provision and changing facilities in Witney | WODC Developers Witney Town Council | ⁴ £10.4m | £214,647 towards the West Witney Sports Ground (S106) | Approx. £10.2m | S106 including some provision to be provided as part of the West Witney Strategic Development Area CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

⁴ West Oxfordshire Census 2011 details a population increase of 4,757 residents in Witney from 2001 to 2011 (22,765 to 27,522) which is a 21% increase. This equates to a 2.1% increase in the population of Witney per year. Therefore, if this is carried forward the population increase between 2011 and 2031 is estimated to be 42% which equates to 11,559 additional residents.

West Oxfordshire Open Space Study 2013 advises a contribution per person for parks/ recreation grounds to be £900.00. Multiplying this by the estimated population increase in Witney from 2011 to 2031 results in a required contribution of £10.4m

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|-------------------|----------------|--|-------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| Replacement of Windrush Leisure Centre, Witney | WODC Developers | £22m - £25m | None | £22m - £25m | External funding (such as Sport England) CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2021 - 2031 | Financial contributions to be sought from development (CIL). Other potential sources of funding to be investigated e.g. lottery funding |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|-------------|---|------------------------|--|
| Additional outdoor playing pitch provision and changing facilities, Carterton | WODC Carterton Town Council Developers | ⁵ Approx. £4.4m | Approx. £300,000 (S106) | Approx. £4m | S106 including at least 2 pitches to be provided as part of East Carterton SDA CIL (when introduced) External funding | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | Provision for additional provision in the vicinity of the football club to be investigated |

⁵ West Oxfordshire Census 2011 details a population increase of 3,964 residents in Carterton from 2001 to 2011 (11,805 to 15,769) which is a 33.6% increase. However this includes communal establishment residents of RAF Brize Norton which were counted in the parish of Brize Norton in 2001 and therefore this has skewed the figures.

The growth in the population of West Oxfordshire between 2001 and 2011 was approximately 10% with most growth in Witney and Carterton. Taking a mid point between the growth in Witney (21%) and West Oxfordshire (10%) is 15.5%

Assuming a 15.5% increase, this equates to a 1.55% increase in the population of Carterton per year and projecting this forward equates to an increase of 31% from 2011 to 2031 which results in a population increase of 4,888 residents.

West Oxfordshire Open Space Study 2013 advises a contribution per person for parks/ recreation grounds to be £900.00. Multiplying this by the estimated population increase in Carterton from 2011 to 2031 results in a required contribution of = approximately £4,400,000

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|-------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| Phase 2 Carterton Leisure Centre | WODC Developers | £5m | £500,000 (S106) | £4.5m | External funding (such as Sport England) S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | Around £500,000 already collected in developer funding. Potential for external funding to be sought as well as contributions from new development |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Enhanced community use, changing and reception areas at Carterton Community College Sports Hall and ATP | WODC Carterton Community College Developers | To be identified. | None | To be identified. | External funding (such as Sport England) S106 CIL (when introduced) | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | |
| All-weather pitch for football and rugby in Chipping Norton | WODC Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group. Town Council Football Club | To be identified. | None | To be identified. | External funding (such as Sport England) S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Enhanced changing facilities for school sports hall, Woodstock | Marlborough School | To be identified. | None | To be identified. | County Council S106 CIL (when introduced) Fund raising | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |
| Potential outdoor floodlit training area and/or ATP, Woodstock | WODC Town Council | To be identified. | Approx. £30,000 (S106) | To be identified. | External funding (such as Sport England) S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Skateboard facility, Woodstock | WODC Town Council | To be identified. | None | To be identified. | External funding/ community funding opportunities S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |
| Provision of ATP plus potential additional changing facilities, Burford | WODC Burford School | To be identified. | None | To be identified. | Burford School are fund raising. External funding (such as Sport England) CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|-------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| Other sporting opportunities including water polo sessions, street sports and dance workouts across the District | Sportivate WODC GLLABingdon and Witney College Town/ Parish Councils | To be identified. | £11,800 received from Sportivate | To be identified. | Sportivate – Lottery funding | Preferred 2016 - 2021 | Sportivate is a programme to provide leisure opportunities for 11-25 year olds |
| Health | | | | | | | |
| Relocation of GP practice in Woodstock | TVAT, NHSE GP Practices | In the region of £4.5 | None | Approx. £4.5m | External funding – PCT S106 CIL (once introduced) | Necessary 2016 - 2021 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Air quality management at Witney and Chipping Norton | WODC | To be identified | £1,024 held for air quality management in Chipping Norton (S106) | To be identified | S106 Other funding sources to be identified. | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |
| Public Safety | | | | | | | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------|
| <p>Various requirements including:</p> <p>Witney - Adaptation of Police Station, unmarked police car, 1.5 Police Community Support Officer (PCSO), 1 APNR camera</p> <p>Carterton - Provision of new office within multi agency hub, led by OFRS, 2 PCSO, marked police car.</p> <p>Chipping Norton - Marked police car. ANPR cameras</p> <p>Woodstock/Eynsham - adaptations regarding additional space at</p> | <p>Thames Valley Police</p> <p>Developers</p> <p>WODC</p> | <p>£1.13 - £1.53m</p> | <p>£3,000 held for CCTV in Carterton town centre (S106), £13,125 towards information technology and automatic number plate recognition cameras in Woodstock (S106)</p> | <p>Approx £1 - £1.5m</p> | <p>Thames Valley Police (TVP)</p> <p>S106</p> <p>CIL (when introduced)</p> | <p>Preferred</p> <p>2016 - 2031</p> | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|--|------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| New one pump 2 bay fire station in Carterton | County Council CLG TVP SCAS | £3.2m | To be identified | To be identified | CLG transformation fund Capital programme budget Potentially OCC Other delivery partners | Essential 2016-2018 | The Fire Service is putting in a bid to CLG and OCC will decide whether to part fund this depending on the level of funding provided by CLG |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|--|--------------------------|----------|
| 2-3 ambulance standby points in the District | SCAS NHS Foundation Trust | £520,000 – 780,000 for 2-3 standby points including ambulance £540,000 – 810,000 annual paramedic costs | None | £520,000 – 780,000 | External funding - NHS Foundation Trust including paramedic costs | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| Community and Culture | | | | | | | |
| Provision for new community facilities as part of large-scale development across the District including sites at North Witney, East Chipping Norton, West Eynsham and Garden Village. | WODC OCC Developers Parish/Town Councils Local churches Voluntary sector | Costs to be considered as part of development. Average cost for construction of a community hall is around £2,000 m ² . On-going running costs also need to be taken into account | NE Witney Community Hall and Community Grants Funds totalling approx. £600,000 | n/a – to be funded as part of large-scale development | SI06 | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------------|---|---------------------------|----------|
| Enhanced Library Provision at Witney, Carterton, Chipping Norton, Eynsham and Woodstock. | OCC Developers Parish Councils and community funding | ⁶ Approximately £1.7m | £230,262 towards Library infrastructure including extension/improvements to libraries across the District, electronic tagging and library book stock (S106) | Approx. £1.5m | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| Museum Resource Centre | OCC Developers | ⁷ Approximately £480,000 | £1,392 (S106) | Approx. £479,000 | County Council funding S106 CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 – 20231 | |

⁶ Oxfordshire County Council indicates the cost of standard library provision to be 27.5m² per 1000 head of population. The cost of extending a library is £2,370 per m² at 1st Quarter 2012 price base. Book stock should be increased by 2 volumes per additional resident and the price per volume is £10.

Based on the Census 2011 and the 2014 based Sub National Population Projections, the population increase in West Oxfordshire will increase by approximately 17,000 from 2011 to 2031. Therefore the additional floor space required is 467m² which will cost approx. £1.1m. In addition book stock will cost an additional £340,000 based on the above costs per person. The County Council also seek £17.15 per person towards the Central Library in Oxford which will cost approx. £292,000. This will total - £1.7m

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---|--|---|------------------|--|--------------------------|----------|
| Provision of community art in major developments | WODC Developers Local communities Local artists and craftspeople | Community art incorporated as part of a development site will be calculated at that stage. Approximately £560,000 (towards 16 community art projects across the District to 2019) | Various S106 Agreements including developments at NE Carterton, Marriotts Close,, Abbeycare,, Stanton Harcourt, Woodstock, and Eynsham totally approx. £90,000 (S106) | Approx. £450,000 | On-site provision as part of development through S106 More general improvements funded by CIL when introduced | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | |

⁷ Based on data from Oxfordshire County Council, an extension to the Museum Resource Centre to mitigate the impact of new development to 2026 is £460,000. This equates to £5 per person at 1st Quarter 2012 price base. Based on the 2014 based Sub National Population Projections there will be a population increase of approx. 4,000 from 2026 to 2031. Based on a contribution of £5 per person, between 2026 and 2031, the contribution required will be £20,000. £460,000 + 20,000 = £480,000

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|-----------------------|--|------------------|---|--------------------------|----------|
| Witney Arts Centre | WODC Developers Local communities Local artists and craftspeople | Approximately £4m-£6m | None | £4m-£6m | SI06 CIL (when introduced) Other potential sources e.g. lottery funding | Preferred 2019 – 2024 | |
| Social Care | | | | | | | |
| Provision of extra care housing and specialised housing for people with disabilities across the District | OCC, Housing providers/ associations, Homes and Communities Agency, WODC, Developers | To be identified | Unknown | To be identified | SI06 CIL (when introduced) External funding | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Space within community facilities on strategic sites for delivery of Tier 2 services (targeted services for children), Witney | OCC Developers | To be identified | Space within community facilities on strategic sites for delivery of Tier 2 services | To be identified | Potential developer provision at East West and North Witney (S106) | Necessary 2016 - 2031 | If community buildings are not provided, the County Council would seek contributions to expand the existing Resource Centre at a cost of £1,100 per person aged 65 years or older. |

Green Infrastructure

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|--|--|------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| Biodiversity and Green Infrastructure Projects | | | | | | | |
| Measures to conserve and enhance the Cotswolds Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) in the District | Cotswolds Conservation Board WODC OCC Developers Landowners Cotswold Volunteers | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | Unknown | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | The Cotswolds Management Plan and the Cotswolds Conservation Board’s Business Plan Work Programme guide activity in the AONB |
| Measures to protect and enhance ancient woodland as part of the District’s network of green infrastructure | Forestry Commission Developers Landowners | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | Unknown | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 - 2023 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Measures to protect and enhance historic parks and gardens as part of the District's network of green infrastructure | Historic England Developers Landowners | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | Unknown | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | |
| Deer Park Wood, Witney. Woodland management, habitat creation, development and management of public recreation opportunities | Witney Woodland Volunteers (WWV), with support from the Wychwood Project | Estimated to be between £25,000 - £50,000 | No funding secured | To be identified. Estimated to be £25,000 - £50,000 | S106 from development within the locality. External funding, charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 – 2031 (Woodland management is a priority). | WWV have already been active in site management and fund raising. Deer Park Wood is in close proximity to West Witney development areas |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Willow pollarding at Grimes Meadows, Witney. | Wychwood Project | £1,000 per year for 10 years (c. 5 trees pollarded per year) | No funding secured | £10,000 | Grant applications | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Pollarded willows are a key feature of the area and a valuable wildlife features. |
| Fencing and pasture pumps at Grimes Meadows, Witney | Wychwood Project | £3,500 | No funding secured | £3,500 | Grant applications | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | Stock fencing to secure site boundary as pre-cursor to reintroducing grazing. Livestock watering points. |
| Parkland fencing at Langel Common, Witney | Wychwood Project, Diocese Board, WODC | £10,000 | No funding secured | £10,000 | Grant applications | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Replace wrought iron fencing alongside main public thoroughfare. Modify gates for farming access. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| Woodland improvements at Dean Common Community Woodland, Chadlington. | Wychwood Project, OCC | £10,000 then £2,500 per year | No funding secured | £10,000 and ongoing | To be identified | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Thinning / coppicing of woodland areas to enhance long-term woodland quality. Gate improvements. Parking improvements |
| Orchard planting at Foxburrow Wood, near Hailey. | Wychwood Project | £600 | No funding secured | £600 | Charity reserves Community contributions CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Additional planting of heritage fruit trees at community woodland. |
| Create second car park for access to Witney Lake and Windrush Path | LWVP/ Witney TC/OCC | £30,000 | None | £30,000 | S106 Other funding sources to be | Preferred 2016-2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|--|---|------------------|--|------------------------------|----------|
| | | | | | identified. | | |
| Provide/improve disabled access to key sites around Witney e.g. Witney Lakes, Rushy Common and Tar Lakes, Windrush Path. | LWVP/ Witney TC/OCC | £50,000 | None | £50,000 | S106 Other funding sources to be identified. | Preferred 2016-2031 | |
| Various projects to improve accessibility, recreation opportunities, tourism, landscape and nature conservation within the Lower Windrush Valley Project area | LWVP WODC OCC Mineral operators Developers Landowners | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | £151,961 held towards the Windrush Project (S106) | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|--|---|--------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Windrush in Witney Project - various capital projects plus consolidation of on-going management and maintenance arrangements | WODC Developers Landowners | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | None | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| Conservation Target Areas - biodiversity restoration through maintenance, restoration and creation of BAP priority habitats across the District | WODC OCC ONCF TVERC Landowners | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | £4,782 held for Conservation Target Areas (S106) | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| Local Wildlife Sites - potential enhancements and improved | WODC OCC | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending | Unknown | To be identified | S106 | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| connectivity across the District | ONCF TVERC BBOWT Landowners | on the location and aims of each project | | | Charitable donations and local fundraising | | |
| Public access improvements and river corridor maintenance at Wootton Jubilee Fields Local Wildlife Site. | Wootton Conservation Trust | To be identified | Unknown | To be identified | Grant applications and charitable donations. | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| Site maintenance and enhancement at Woodstock Water Meadows | Friends of Woodstock Water Meadows Wychwood Project | To be identified | Unknown | To be identified | Grant applications and charitable donations. CIL (when introduced) | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---|--|--|-------------------|--|------------------------------|---|
| Improve connectivity between habitats and built development to encourage sustainable transport, greater recreation usage of green corridors and to facilitate species migration across the District | WODC OCC Developers Landowners ONCF | The cost and extent of the projects will vary depending on the location and aims of each project | £1,606 secured for the protection and enhancement of biodiversity and green infrastructure in Carterton and Eynsham. £6,528 for kissing gates on footpath in Eynsham and improved footpath to Church Lane in Witney. Total - £8,134 (S106) | To be identified | S106 Charitable donations and local fundraising | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | |
| Remove fish pass and weir at Langley Mill to improve habitat Evenlode (Bledington to Glyme) | E.A. Wild Oxfordshire. | £37,500 - £50,000 | None | £37,500 - £50,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations. | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | There are some ownership issues which need to be resolved prior to commencing these |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------|
| confluence). | | | | | | | improvements. |
| Reprofile banks North of Bruern Abbey to limit amount of steep sided clay banks favoured by signal crayfish, to reduce extent of invasive species. | EA. Cotswolds Rivers Trust. Wychwood Project. Wild Oxfordshire. | £166,000 – £250,000 | None | £166,000 – £250,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| Fish passage around weir/slucice at Charlbury to improve modified habitat and the condition of riparian zone and/or wetland habitats. | EA. Cotswolds Rivers Trust. Wychwood Project. Wild Oxfordshire. | £75,000 – £100,000 | None | £75,000 – £100,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | |
| Fencing to prevent poaching by cattle to manage diffuse | Cotswolds Rivers Trust. Wychwood | £5,000 – £10,000 | None | £5,000 – £10,000 | Grant applications and charitable | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|---|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| point pollution and improve riparian habitat along Evenlode. | Project. Wild Oxfordshire. | | | | donations | | |
| Connection of pond to river to provide backwater feature, improve riparian habitat and create new habitat at Shipton under Wychwood. | Cotswolds Rivers Trust. Wychwood Project. Wild Oxfordshire. | £17,000 - £25,000 | None | £17,000 - £25,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Scope of project is subject to pond survey to determine species of fish. |
| Lower the bank height, narrow the river channel and create a two stage channel along the Evenlode Foscot – Bladon to improve habitat / morphological diversity. | Cotswold Rivers Trust. Wild Oxfordshire. Upper Thames Fisheries Consultative. Cornbury Park. Blenheim | £300,000 – £500,000 | None | £300,000 – £500,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | High banks are a result of historic dredging and land drainage practices. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---|--------------------|--|--------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| | Estate. | | | | | | |
| Restore flood plain connectivity through bank reprofiling and wetland/backwater creation to improve condition of riparian zone and wetland habitats. South of Shipton station. | Cotswold Rivers Trust. Wild Oxfordshire. | £300,000 – 500,000 | None | £300,000 – 500,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Increasing the connectivity of the Evenlode with the floodplain will have wide ranging ecological benefits as well as providing additional flood storage. |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|---------------------|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| River Evenlode – reconnect floodplain to river at Blenheim to create wet woodland | Blenheim. Combe Mill. EA. Wild Oxfordshire. | £300,000 – £500,000 | None | £300,000 – £500,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Increasing the connectivity of the Evenlode with the floodplain will have wide ranging ecological benefits as well as providing additional flood storage. |
| River Evenlode – Combe Mill restoration scheme | Blenheim. Combe Mill. EA. Wild Oxfordshire | £60,000 | None | £60,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| River Evenlode - Reconnect paleochannels to reinstate historical | Cotswolds Rivers Trust. Wychwood | £37,500 - £50,000 | None | £37,500 - £50,000 | Grant applications and charitable | Preferred 2016 - 2031 | Increasing the connectivity of the Evenlode with the |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| meanders and improve habitat. (Bledington to Glyme confluence). Foscot to Bladon. | Project. Wild Oxfordshire. | | | | donations | | floodplain will have wide ranging ecological benefits as well as providing additional flood storage. |
| River Evenlode - Creation of backwater and lowering of bank to reconnect flood plain to the river channel in area of lower lying land. To improve floodplain connectivity near Chilson. | Cotswolds Rivers Trust. Wychwood Project. Wild Oxfordshire | £75,000 - £100,000 | None | £75,000 - £100,000 | Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | Increasing the connectivity of the Evenlode with the floodplain will have wide ranging ecological benefits as well as providing additional flood storage. |
| Restoration of Emma's Dike, | Berks, Bucks and Oxon Wildlife | £25,000 | None | £25,000 | Grant applications and charitable | Preferred | |

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|--------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Witney | Trust, EA, Lower Windrush Valley Project | | | | donations | 2016 – 2031 | |
| Informal and Formal Open Space | | | | | | | |
| Children's play areas (District-wide) | WODC Parish/Town Councils Developers | ⁸ £2m. | Funding secured from various S106 Agreements towards play areas NE Witney, Marriotts, Witney, Woodstock and East Eynsham totally approx £100,000 | Approx £1.9m | On-site provision as part of development through S106 External funding (such as SITA) | Necessary 2016 – 20231 | £30,000 has been provided from the SITA Trust and Chill-Out Fund towards new play equipment at Burwell Recreation |

⁸ West Oxfordshire Open Space Study 2013 advised a contribution per person for play space provision to be £119.00.

Based on the Census 2011 and the 2014 based Sub National Population Projections, the population increase in West Oxfordshire will increase by approximately 17,000 from 2011 to 2031.

119 (contribution per person for play space provision) x 17,000 (projected population increase to 2031) = £26m.

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | | Trust) | | Ground. |
| Extension of Country Park, Carterton | WODC Town Council Developers | To be identified | £25,200 secured for Kilkenny Lane Country Park Phase 3 (S106) The committed east Carterton scheme will provide for an extension to the Kilkenny Country Park | To be identified. | S106 | Necessary 2016 – 2026 | The committed urban extension to the east of Carterton will provide for an extension to the Kilkenny Country Park (S106). Other enhancements and potential extensions from development (S106) as appropriate |
| Provision of | Town and | ⁹ £1.275m | None | £1.275m | On-site | Preferred | Demand for |

⁹ West Oxfordshire Open Space Study 2013 advised a contribution per person for allotment provision to be £75.00.

Based on the Census 2011 and the 2014 based Sub National Population Projections, the population increase in West Oxfordshire will increase by approximately 17,000 from 2011 to 2031.

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|-------------|--|------------------------|---|
| additional allotments across the District | Parish Councils Developers WODC | | | | provision as part of development through S106 Private funding, charitable donations and local fundraising | 2016– 2031 | allotments has significantly increased over the last 5 years. The West Witney urban extension will provide on-site provision which will help to reduce the current identified undersupply in Witney |
| Repair listed memorials in the churchyard at St. Mary's Church, Witney | WODC Witney Town Council | £10,000 | None | £10,000 | Church Buildings Council funding Grant | Necessary 2016-2018 | |

75 (contribution per person for allotment provision) x 17,000 (projected population increase to 2031) = £1.275m.

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|--|---|--|------------------|---|--------------------------|----------|
| | Oxford Diocesan Historic England | | | | applications and charitable donations | | |
| New and/or enhanced cemetery provision across the District | Town and Parish Councils Developers WODC Environment Agency | Not known. Likely to be the provision of land rather than financial contribution. | None | To be identified | S106 Grant applications and charitable donations | Preferred 2016 – 2031 | |
| Informal open space – provision of and | WODC Developers | ¹⁰ £5.1m | Contributions of £6,900 towards Budds Close, | Approx. £5.1m | On-site provision as part of | Necessary 2016 – 2031 | |

¹⁰ West Oxfordshire Open Space Study 2013 advises a contribution per person for informal open space provision to be £300.00.

Based on the Census 2011 and the 2014 based Sub National Population Projections, the population increase in West Oxfordshire will increase by approximately 17,000 from 2011 to 2031.

300 (contribution per person for informal open space provision) x 17,000 (projected pop increase to 2031) = £5.1m.

| Infrastructure Project and Location | Delivery Partners | Estimated Cost | Funding already Secured and Funding Source | Funding Gap | Anticipated Funding Mechanism | Priority and Timescale | Comments |
|--|-------------------|----------------|--|-------------|---|------------------------|----------|
| improvements to including accessible natural green space across the District | | | Woodstock Linear Park secured (S106) | | development through S106 Other funding sources to be identified. | | |

Appendix 2 – Summary Table of Developer Contributions by Anticipated Mechanism

| Item | Section 106 agreement | Section 278 agreement | CIL | Planning Condition |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Affordable Housing on-site (where applicable) | ✓ | | | |
| Affordable Housing off-site financial contribution (where applicable) | ✓ | | | |
| Custom/self-build housing – 5% provision on sites of 100 or more homes | ✓ | | | |
| Custom/self-build housing – other smaller schemes put forward for the express purpose of custom/self-build | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Education provision - both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Highways and access improvements both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | ✓ | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Public transport provision both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | ✓ | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |

| Item | Section 106 agreement | Section 278 agreement | CIL | Planning Condition |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Healthy and active travel provision both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | ✓ | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Travel Plan | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | ✓ |
| Indoor sport and leisure facilities both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Outdoor sports both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Play areas both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Allotments and other community growing space both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Other Green Space both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |

| Item | Section 106 agreement | Section 278 agreement | CIL | Planning Condition |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Public Rights of Way – mitigation of potential impacts of development both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Biodiversity mitigation and enhancement both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | ✓ |
| Air Quality – contribution towards measures to offset increases in pollutants | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |
| Flood risk, water management and sustainable drainage both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution including maintenance | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | ✓ |
| Archaeology – mitigation of potential impacts as appropriate | | | | ✓ |
| Public Realm and Public Art both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | ✓ |
| Community facilities both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | |

| Item | Section 106 agreement | Section 278 agreement | CIL | Planning Condition |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Community Services (libraries, museums, adult and children support services) | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| Burial space both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | ✓ |
| Primary and Secondary Health Care both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| Fire and rescue both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Policing /community safety both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| Ambulance service both on-site and off-site through an appropriate financial contribution | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| Community Employment Plans (CEPs) | ✓ | | The District Council will also potentially consider using a proportion of its CIL receipts. | ✓ |

| Item | Section 106 agreement | Section 278 agreement | CIL | Planning Condition |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----|--------------------|
| Waste and recycling/waste management - provision of on-site recycling/ refuse containers and any associated facilities and financial contribution towards off-site waste recycling and management infrastructure | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Utilities - provision of infrastructure for utilities which is not funded by utility providers | ✓ | | | ✓ |